

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION.

April 27, 1951

Transmit the following message to:

LEGAL ATTACHE
HAVANA, CUBA

RECORDED - 37

INDEXED - 37

62-55661-11X

EX - 25

AIRGRAM

LAFAYETTE RONALD HUBBARD, FOREIGN MISCELLANEOUS. RE YOUR AIRGRAM APRIL SEVENTEEN, LAST. BUREAU RECORDS REFLECT THAT HUBBARD, PRESIDENT OF THE HUBBARD DIANETIC RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC., AND AUTHOR OF QUOTE DIANETICS, THE MODERN SCIENCE OF MENTAL HEALTH UNQUOTE, PUBLISHED IN JUNE, FIFTY, CAME TO THE BUREAU ON MARCH SEVEN, FIFTY ONE, TO ADVISE THAT COMMUNISTS WITHIN HIS ORGANIZATION WERE UNDERMINING ITS STRUCTURE. DURING THE INTERVIEW HE LIKEWISE STATED THAT HE STRONGLY FELT THAT DIANETICS CAN BE USED TO COMBAT COMMUNISM. AGENT CONDUCTING INTERVIEW CONSIDERED HUBBARD TO BE MENTAL CASE. BUREAU RECORDS LIKEWISE REFLECT THAT DURING FEBRUARY OR MARCH, FIFTY ONE, SUBJECT'S WIFE FILED KIDNAPPING COMPLAINT AGAINST SUBJECT WITH LOS ANGELES POLICE AS RESULT OF WHICH HUBBARD WAS ARRESTED IN CHICAGO. FEDERAL PROSECUTION DECLINED IN FAVOR OF STATE PROSECUTION, ON BASIS MATTER A FAMILY AFFAIR, AND NO INTERVIEW CONDUCTED BY BUREAU. ACCORDING TO BUREAU RECORDS HUBBARD IS ALLEGEDLY NEW APPROACH TO TREATMENT OF MANY PHYSICAL ILLNESSES WITHOUT THE USE OF DRUGS OR MEDICINE. IN THIS CASE IT IS NOTED THAT UNDER DATE OF MARCH FOURTEEN, FIFTY ONE, THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY, ADVISED THAT IT HAS A CASE AGAINST THE HUBBARD DIANETIC RESEARCH FOUNDATION, INC., AND THAT THE CASE WAS DUE FOR TRIAL IN

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Nichols _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Harbo _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Nease _____
- Gandy _____

RECEIVED IN THE DEPT. OF JUSTICE
APR 27 1951
FBI

SENT VIA Airgram 4-27-51 6:32 AM Per ND

To: COMMUNICATIONS SECTION.

PAGE TWO

Transmit the following message to:

AIRGRAM

UNION COUNTY DISTRICT COURT, ELIZABETH, NEW JERSEY, MARCH TWENTY SEVEN, FIFTY ONE, BECAUSE THE FOUNDATION CONDUCTED A SCHOOL TEACHING A BRANCH OF MEDICINE AND SURGERY WITHOUT POSSESSING A LICENSE.

HLF:dm
CC - Foreign Service Desk (detached)

- Tolson _____
- Ladd _____
- Clegg _____
- Glavin _____
- Nichols _____
- Rosen _____
- Tracy _____
- Harbo _____
- Mohr _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Nease _____
- Gandy _____

SENT VIA Airgram 4-27-51 6³² AM

Per NS

May 10, 1951

65-74080-11X

[Redacted]

Dear [Redacted]

Your letter dated May 3, 1951, has been received and I appreciate the interest which prompted you to write as you did.

I must advise, however, in answer to the questions you propounded concerning the individuals and organizations mentioned in your communication, a long-standing policy established for this Bureau holds our files confidential and available for official use only. No inference, of course, should be drawn that we do or do not have data concerning them in our files because of my inability to be of aid.

I am taking the liberty of enclosing some material which I thought you might like to have and your attention is directed particularly to the comments I made concerning the test of a front organization in my statement before the Committee on Un-American Activities, House of Representatives, on May 26, 1947.

It is suggested that whenever you come into possession of information which you believe to be of value to the FBI please feel free to contact our representatives in the Miami Office at 3915 Biscayne Boulevard, Miami 32, Florida.

Sincerely yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

cc - Miami with copy of incoming

DEPT. OF JUSTICE
MAY 11 4 21 PM '51
COMMUNICATIONS ROOM

COMM - FBI
MAY 11 1951
MAILED 18
Tolson
Ladd
Clegg
Glavin
Nichols
Rosen
Tracy
Harbo
Belmont
Mohr
Tele. Room
Nease
Gandy

Enclosure
US News and World Report
Hoover Answers 10 Questions
Director's Statement 2-26-51

Free to Freedom; Statement before House Un-American Activities

61 MAY

[Handwritten initials]

A-14

10-111

RECORDED - 126
INDEXED - 126

The Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D. C.

Dear Sir:

How can I find out if the Hubbard Dianetic Research Foundation, Inc. of P.O. Box #502 Elizabeth, N.J. and perhaps having offices in Wash. D.C. now, or did have, is a (1) Communist front organization. (2) Is or was infiltrated by communists. (3) Has been cleared of supposed communist infiltration. (3) Has been checked by the FBI.

How can I find out if Mr. L. Ron Hubbard, founder of Dianetics did (1) ask the FBI to investigate his organization named above for the purpose of eliminating communists or for other purposes. (2) Voluntarily asked the FBI to check his group and handed in some 40 odd "cases" of suspected communists which were in his organization.

Is a [redacted] former member of the Hubbard Group, a known communist? What about [redacted] publisher of the book, "Dianetics"?

Is it possible to find out in any way whether or not communists did allegedly try to "take over" Hubbard Dianetics??

Is [redacted] a former administrator, of the Washington D. C. Hubbard office, a communist, or associated with them? What about Mr. [redacted] a former member of the L.A. branch of the Hubbard group who recently and perhaps now resides in Orlando Florida.

My reason for asking this or rather these questions is: I believe Communism is a serious menace to the United States. I am a patriotic citizen and ~~XXXX~~ wish to help my country. I have been and am interested in Dianetics, a method of psycho-therapy with promising new discoveries which may be of benefit to many. I have heard rumors which lead me to write you and ask the above questions. I do not ~~XXXX~~ wish to knowingly or unknowingly get mixed up with or aid communists if I can help it. If you can suggest something to do I will be glad to do it.

Sincerely [redacted]

INDEXED 46

November 6, 1951

CC TO: *pld*
REQ. REC'D. *2-2-52*
MAY 2 1957
ANS. BY: *L.D.B.*

62-94080-12

RECORDED 45

[REDACTED]

Dear Mrs. [REDACTED]

Your letter dated October 24, 1951, has been received, and I appreciate very much your thoughtfulness in making available to me the information enclosed with your letter.

I am enclosing some material which I thought you might like to have.

Sincerely yours,

John Edgar Hoover
Director

Enclosure: Director's Statement 7/26/50; Presidential Directive.

NOTE: Correspondent forwarded notes and other documents discarded by her roomer, *see [REDACTED]* dealing with 'Dianetics and the Hubbard Dianetic Actuators' Association-International. Lafayette Ronald Hubbard, president of the Hubbard Dianetic Research Foundation, Incorporated, and author of "Dianetics, The Modern Science of Mental Health," is the subject of Bufiles 62-94080 and 64-33661. A newspaper clipping dated 4/24/51 from the Los Angeles Times Herald indicated that he is insane. (62-94080-A). We have no pertinent information identifiable

RECEIVED
NOV 32 11 21
AVH:mms:mn

COMM - FBI
NOV - 7 1951
MAILED 18

NOV 9 7 11 PM '51

Power
Nov 11

NOV 5 1951

A-15

[REDACTED]

Oct 24 - 1951

J. Edgar Hoover 71

Director of Bureau of
Investigation
Washington D.C.

Dear Mr. Hoover. I received
a note Oct 18th - to a
I believe is with the
under world organization.
Here are some letters also
some things he has written
and enclosed.

He told me he worked at
Cassman's and played piano.
He does a lot of writing
like Moore's work. I
did not read it
He does a lot of writing
and is a pianist

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED

RECORDED

EX-68

NOV 5 1951

NOV 10 1951
NOV 10 1951
NOV 10 1951

NOV 10 1951

... I would
know what it is all
about - may say I don't.
so will let you decide
He is a Humble back.

Just the type of man who
would order a room
a room in a small

Rooming house.

I would want him other
to know I reported this.

But if it is any thing
of the order and I will

do all I can to help.

Yours very truly,

[Redacted signature]

March 5, 1954

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] (b)(7)(C)
[REDACTED] (b)(7)(D)
Dear [REDACTED]

Two of your recent articles have brought questions to my mind that you might consider worth answering in some future column.

✓ The first concerns your definition of a communist.

In 1947 I joined an anti-Communist Party, communist group. It has since been labeled subversive—and God knows, if the Communist Party is subversive, the one I joined was twice so; they even subverted the Communists. You surely know enough about communism to realize that I did not believe myself to be subversive, but instead believed that I was one of the enlightened young men, who, if we could just present our case adequately enough, would convince all the poor misguided capitalists that their brutal ways were futile and that by foregoing them they could create a heaven on earth. I have yet to meet one of the so-called cynical communists; I've known quite a few of different leftist persuasions, but each one fervently believed himself to be an American patriot—and the, "All-American," to be a traitor, motivated by greed.

I quit the group within a few months, giving these three reasons: (1) I objected to the group's insistence that non-communist writers should not be read; (2) I believed they were wasting time, and should start the revolution immediately; (3) I didn't believe that good could result from violence. You may note a lack of consistency in these reasons. I've more recently realized that I simply lacked the guts required even to be a communist.

From that point on, I justified my being practically a bum, by the noble ideals, including Marxian, that I aspired to. I wanted such good things for the world, that people should excuse my not holding a job, borrowing from them, etc., etc. Besides, some day I was going to write a book that would achieve the bloodless revolution.

Then, being a crackpot of the overly intellectual variety, when the crackpot therapy, "Dianetics," came along, I got involved with that. But I was unique from the other crackpots I have met in that field, in that I'm a whiz at reading-comprehension; I finish those tests that aren't supposed to be finished, in three quarters of the allotted time, and get all the answers right.

I'll cut this sob-story short. I understood Dianetics, followed directions, and not surprisingly, considering that the technique has been developed by the empirical "scientific method" from the ground, up—I got and am getting results.

of Belmont
✓ Okay, what results?

✓ I started as less than a communist and am at this point by practical definition almost a fascist—this latter qualified by the realization that the goal-motivated, free society envisioned by our Constitution is probably the sanest concept of government since the technically impossible attempts at democracy by the Greeks some three-thousand years ago.

62-94080-15X1
110100

Now to set the stage for my first question, first let me define communism, fascism, etc. as they are understood in Dianetics. To a dianeticist, the "natural" functional state of any sane and healthy person is indicated by the word, "enthusiastic." Dianetics postulates that the Good Lord did a mighty fine job of constructing us —enthusiasm as the normal state, then, for emergencies, different physiological adjustments, nervous, muscular, vascular, glandular take place that are emotionally experienced as, indicatively: strong interest, mild interest, content, indifference, boredom, threatening, anger, veiled-hate, fear, grief, apathy, deepest apathy, death. From any of these physiological adjustments the body is supposed to readjust itself automatically back up to enthusiasm; from "death," this seems to involve getting reborn. (You may not like this mechanical description; I know I don't, but it's convenient) Unfortunately, this readjustment is accompanied by several side effects that man, not understanding, has decided to dispense with: apathy comes off with deep sighs; grief with howling; fear with perspiration, "hysterical" laughter, etc; anger with violent activity. Eidetic day-dreams on the entire depressive situation seem a necessary part of reattaining enthusiasm. And every single damned one of these things is treated by our society as uncouth, animal, something to be ashamed of, or a sign of weakness. Result: people don't readjust back up to enthusiasm, but instead, by the time they are in their teens, they are, on the average, chronically depressed to anger, and by the time they reach maturity, they've become "intellectuals" —too beat to fight openly, they start undermining, with big words and twisted conceptions.

Political states are reflections of emotional states. Our nation was conceived against a background of unbelievable freedom and potential wealth by men vigorous and alive enough to carve a nation from a wilderness. Further, they were coalesced by a goal, thus mingling and augmenting their strength. They created a nation reflecting their temperament.

Time, and to a great measure, freedom passes. The sick mores of Europe and its culture find their way to a no longer so vigorous United States. By the time of Teddy Roosevelt, the temperament of the people finds best expression in the threat, "Carry a big stick," and in the anger—fascism—of the Cuban war. And fascism breeds veiled-hate and fear—communism: hiding behind makeup-falsies-perverted ethics-foreign culture-intellectualism, to strike out deviously at anything strong: as Marx would destroy FREE enterprise, from behind the facade of a glorious sounding, mathematically brilliant, intellectual tour de force that seeks to impose nothing other than the CONTROLS which destroy that greatest beauty and strength of all—life. Next step down: the apathy of India, and until recently of China, who I believe took an upward step with communism, surged up to fascism, and may damn well, if encouraged, continue right on up to free enterprise.

Here, at last, is the question:

To me a Communist is a sick person, who, I know can be cured. His sickness is, however, virulently contagious—yet! the most direct source of his sickness is the fascist, the man who scares the bejesus out of him. I recognize McCarthy as a far healthier man than a communist, and as a guidon of a vigorous resurgence of our nation. Yet, I know damn well that McCarthy has created more communists in this country, with the exception of Hitler, than any man since John Wesley managed to get castrated by some Washington businessmen twenty-odd years ago. Particularly, among Jews, who five years ago would have knocked your block off if you spouted communism—but who now, seeing in McCarthy the rising American Hitler, and smelling the stench of the furnaces from just beyond tomorrow, are now muttering unfamiliar phrases about controlled economy, or, "wasn't Jack London's writing marvelous?" or, "you know, so-and-so (a commie) makes some sense at that....," to such an extent that since getting my boost from Dianetics, I feel compelled to talk to these people.

who used to feel nauseated trying to talk to me.

Healthier than a communist, yes!—but Mr. McCarthy has split this nation into a million suspicious segments, while coalescing into a firm legion the previously constantly inter-squabbling factions of leftists.

I was raised under a dictatorship, my grandfather's.

Should I now, recognising the psychotic slime I became under that dictatorship to be a devious poison, ally myself with the more overt blackjack of McCarthyism? Would that, according to your lights, make an honest American of me, or would it not be a perversion of honesty itself? Further, those persons above anger, are they failing their duty as citizens, when they fail to ally themselves with the anti-communist movement which relative to themselves is destruction incarnate?—or put it this way:

Jefferson, were he alive today, but retaining the sanity of yesterday, would despise communism. But do you believe that he would deny the communists freedom of thought and belief, or would he view them as he viewed other men: when sick, sick through controls; when revolting, serving an valuable function as a symptom of a sickness in the society, NOT to be cured with the mankind-old cyclic fascist-commie-fascist-commie cure of more CONTROLS, but with the new, 1776, United States cure of great, inspiring, rewarding, and ever higher GOALS and ever more FREEDOM to attain them?

I'll answer this question for myself, by myself, but I'd like to know your thoughts on the matter.

Second question: How? How the blue-blazes can a hospitalization insurance policy be put on the market, that would pay for length hospitalization? If you insure a group, frequently a single injury will wipe out the premium that was paid for the whole group. From that time on, you are covering any subsequent injuries that may occur in that group, with money gained from premiums paid by other groups—or by other people, for entirely different lines of insurance. A kid's playground in New Jersey was insured early last year. The first day the playground opened, a boy broke his leg. The doctor's bill was more than the entire season's premium, yet the protection lasted for the rest of the year and paid for several other injuries.

Or, let's look at it this way. The only money that comes into an insurance company is the money that people pay as premiums, plus the relatively small amount that can be gained by very careful investments of a certain part of those premiums. Some of this money is spent on administrative costs; a little goes to the stockholders; some goes into a legally required reserve. The rest goes to pay losses.

(a type of policy)

The common practice is that if over 50% of the premium for a line is spent on losses, the rate is raised or the conditions of the policy restricted. If only 30% of the premium is being spent on losses, however, the premium is lowered or the conditions of the line broadened. 50% over losses might seem like a hell of a large margin of profit, but when you consider that in some companies it costs an average \$2. (sometimes more) to process every slip of paper concerning policies that comes into the company—and many of these slips mean money going out to an assured—that grand profit soon starts looking very meager indeed.

I would say that on the average, the insurance men rank among the most moral and civic minded men in the country. and would not be associated with