Judge Richey denied the application for temporary restraining order but indicated that plaintiff would be allowed to take an expedited deposition of Mr. McDermott to clarify certain points in the "general" affidavit. One of the Judge's concerns was whether a wiretap would be encompassed by the term "electronic surveillance" as used in the affidavit.

The deposition was taken on June 21, 1974. Mr. McDermott unequivocally confirmed the statements in his affidavit and further explained that any requests for FBI wiretaps in D.C. would come through his office, that FBI index cards relating to plaintiff would reference whether electronic surveillance was ever conducted on plaintiff's telephone either in conjunction with an investigation of the Church or any individual known to use the telephone.

At the deposition, plaintiff's counsel attempted to make a detailed inquiry into the internal operations of the local FBI office, including staffing, details of processing wiretap applications, investigative techniques and the technical method of conducting a wiretap. We objected to these questions as being outside the scope of the deposition as we interpreted Judge Richey's order, as being irrelevant in light of Mr. McDermott's testimony that no wiretaps had even been placed on plaintiff's telephones, and, in part, on grounds of privilege. On advice of counsel, Mr. McDermott refused to answer these questions.

Plaintiff has now filed a motion to compel answers, a copy of which is attached. Our response is due on August 14, 1974. We hope to be in position to not only oppose the motion but also file a motion for summary judgment. Since most of the law in the area of alleged illegal wire-taps has apparently developed in the criminal field, we would appreciate any assistance that you might be able to provide, particularly as to the extent of the Government's burden in overcoming plaintiff's assertions and any information concerning plaintiff's argument in part 3 of the memorandum supporting its motion.

Mr. Robert Rankin of this office has been principally assigned to conduct the defense of this case, and stands ready to discuss it with you at your convenience. His telephone number is 426-7352.

K-15)

OPTIONAL PORM NO. 10
JULY 1973 EDITION
ORA PPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.8
UNITED STATES GO RNMENT

Memorandum

TO

: Director

DATE:

S SEP BITS

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Attention: Office of Legal Counsel

Assistant Attorney General

SUBJECT;

Criminal Division Founding Church of Scientfolgy of Washington, D.C., Inc.,

v. William B. Saxbe, et al., (D.D.C.) Civil Action No. 74-744

On August 29, 1975 the Court held a hearing on the motions and oppositions pending in the subject civil action, copies, of which have been forwarded to you with my memoranda of May 28, June 20, June 25, June 30, July 18, and August 11, 1975, subject as above. The Court denied plaintiff's motion for Rule 37 discovery sanctions and also denied defendants' renewal of their motion for summary judgment. In a modified form it granted defendants' May 22, 1975 motion to modify its orders of October 23, 1974 and April 22, 1975.

As a means of resolving the difficult questions posed by defendants' May 22, 1975 motion to modify the Court's orders of October 23, 1974 and April 22, 1975 it was agreed in a colloquy among the Court and counsel for the respective parties that the discovery directed by those orders would proceed by way of oral deposition, the transcripts to be placed under seal. Counsel for the defendants may advise the persons to be deposed of the pendency and nature of this civil action in advance of their depositions. It was further agreed that defendants' counsel shall identify and designate the persons who may be most productively deposed with a suggested sequence of deposi-The inquiries set forth in the aforementioned Court orders shall constitute the deposition questions, subject to such amplification as might be reasonably suggested by a deponent's responses to the inquiries. Although not set forth in the written order, the Court did say to plaintiff's counsel that he would expect plaintiff to move to dismiss the civil action if the results of the depositions lent no support to the allegations of the complaint. Plaintiff's counsel acceded to this request.

ST-111

REC-ZI

21 SEP 10 1975

5 COCT 1 Bay # 5 Servings Bonds Rigularly on the Pa

oll Sandings Ray

And 10/2/20 Kin

A copy of the Court's order of August 29, 1975 is enclosed for your reference and files. It is requested that you advise this Division of the identities and position descriptions of the personnel who were engaged in warrantless (non-court-ordered) electronic surveillance of telephone communications in the Washington Field Office on April 30, 1974. Plaintiff's counsel appears to be approaching the details of handling the depositions in a spirit of reasonableness and adaptability, and we do not anticipate difficulties in scheduling the depositions in a manner least disruptive to operations of the Washington Field Office. A prompt reply, however, to this memorandum is requested.

Attachment

Assoc. Dir. Dep.-A.D.-Adat. Dep. 1.D.-111. Asst. Dir.: Admin. Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs Files & Com. . Gen. Inv. Date: 9/15/75 Ident. Inspection : Intell . Transmit the following in Laboratory (Type in plaintext or code) Plan. & Eval Spec. Inv. AIRTEL Via . (Priority) TO: DIRECTOR, FBI Director Sec'v OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL FROM: SAC, WFO (66-779) Founding church of scientology OF WASHINGTON. D. C. INC.; WILLIAM B. SAXBE, ET AL (DDC CIVIL ACTION #74-744) MA 112-11 ReButel call, 9/13/75. In accordance with requests made in referenced Bureau telephone call, attached are two copies of a list of monitoring personnel at the Washington Field Office, FBI, as of 4/30/74. Om 9/24/15 concerning 1 45 m attacked whele from ens, Bureau (Enc. 1) bianAgent in Charge

		FBI			
			0 100 175	1	- !
		Dat ·~	e: 9/22/75		<u>:</u>
ransmit the fol	lowing in	(Type in plai	text or code)		· ·
ATOM	n.	(1) pe in piw.	mexico code,	1	
io AIRT	<u> </u>		(Priority)		•
				<u></u>	
TO:	DIRECTOR,	PBI	4	ECRET	
- 11			E OR LEGAL CO	MINSEL.	- 1 VaVa
15/L				PECIAL AGE	TI (DI) (C
PAOM:	SAC, WFO	(66-779)	<u>.</u>	UNE	
alim	DING CHURCH OF	SO T ENTROY ORY			
	ASHINGTON, D. C.				1/1
WILL:	IAM B. SAXBE,				
ET A	L CIVIL ACTION #	74-744)			Jan San San San San San San San San San S
()					
0073	Re WFO ai from Special A	rtel to Bureau		nd Bureau te	elephone
Call	WFO, 9/22/75.	genc (SA)		O SA	
\$ 100 may			& & a d a		1 . 4
that	of the SAs, Sp	of available a ecial Clerks (
liste	ed on attachmen	ts to reference	ed airtel, th	e following	3 17
ala r	not work on 4/3	0/74: 	C-21 63	116523	-10
	X	,		•	A Company
			c BT-111	41 0	CT 1975
• 4.0			(PKII	C	10
}	Domondia		d dm mofomomo		HIO
curre	negarding ently available	the SAs liste to WPO merely			
a reg	gular work day	for the SAs.	Records do no	t indicate	whether
	SAS were on A			at Physica	ils, etc.
			Cont	act with	1/1
		tion Building,		xtension	. (b)
	led that these ferenced teleph		funthan infor	mation is d	indicated iesired.
	, Office of Le		ill contact		directly
` 	areau	6 22 D Evil	ot with a Du	2070	AND PIN
	IFO		- Classifier By	C Colon CPE	2. F.
DWW: 8	js Ova		Exempt from CO	Talous 1515	
(3)	373	SIPIED BY SP-3-T	Classified Ry Exempt from CD Date of Doctor	SHESTION ISOSH	11/0
6Approved:	0 1975 ON 9.	7-79 bio			11 : 11
(DApHoved.)	<u>-</u>	Sent _	M	Per	

U.S.Government Printing Office: 1972 - 45

Special Agent in Charge

UNITED STATE GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

Mr. J. B. Adams

DATE: 10/2/75

FROM

Legal Counse(1)

SUBJECT:

FOUNDING CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY OF WASHINGTON, D.C., INC., V. WILLIAM B. SAXBE, et al. (D.D.C.)

CIVIL ACTION NO. 74-744

In this civil action, an officer of plaintiff submitted an affidavit averring that as she was attempting to place a call on one of plaintiff's telephones, she was interrupted by a male voice who stated he was Bob Wiclomore, a Special Agent of the FBI and that he was operating an FBI switchboard and monitoring the calks on plaintiff's phone. Alleging that an illegal wiretap was placed on one of its telephones, plaintiff seeks an injunction and money damages. The Government proceeded to move for summary judgment, denying plaintiff's allegations and filing an appropriate affidavit of an FBI official.

The court then entered an order specifying that the Government's motion for summary judgment shall be continued until the completion of discovery procedures. As to discovery, the order specified that defendants shall:

> ...make written inquiry of the Agent or Agents within the Washington Field Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation who were conducting electronic surveillance on or about April 30, 1974, including any Agent or Agents who were then, but are not presently, with the F.B.I., as to whether any agent, (a) was personally connected

Enclosures detter autil aunt 10-1.75 Hac

1 - Mr. Wannall

1 - Mr. Walsh

2 - Mr. Mintz

1 - Mr. Laturno

GML/jcr

CONTINUED -65

Dag. AD Adr Dop. AD Inv. set. Dir.: mp. Syst. . Eur. Affaire ee! Coun lan, & Eval Training

Assec. Dir.

Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams
Re: Founding Church of Scientology of Washington, D.C., Inc. v. Saxbe, e

on a telephone line (specifically telephone numbers 232-0223, 232-6296, 232-8602, 367-6605, and 367-6606) due to the malfunctioning of an automatic recordation device, (b) engaged in the following conversation, or a conversation similar thereto, as a result of such connection:

Unidentified Party: 'Is someone on the line?'

Agent: 'Yes'

Unidentified Party: 'Who is it?'

Agent: 'This is Bob Wiclomore, Special Agent,

FBI. Who is this? Are you with the

FBI?'

Unidentified Party: 'I just dialed three

digits of the number and was

connected with you.'

Agent: 'I am on the switchboard monitoring your

calls. Did you request that your calls

be monitored?'

Unidentified Party: 'No'

Agent: 'All conversations on this line are being tape recorded by an automatic device which

has apparently malfunctioned, putting me

on the line. What line is this?'

Unidentified Party: 'I didn't order a tap on my

telephone.'

Agent: 'The name I gave you was false.'

(Conversation terminated).

and, (c) has ever used the alias of 'Bob Wiclomore'...."

CONTINUED - OVER

Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams

Re: Founding Church of Scientology of Washington, D. C., Inc. v. Saxbe, et

By memorandum dated 9/9/75, copy with enclosure attached, the Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, advised that on 8/29/75, the court entered an amended order, stating that the discovery directed by its earlier order is to proceed by oral deposition. The order specifies:

"....Plaintiff may take the depositions upon oral examination, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, of those persons who were and presently are employed by the Federal Bureau of Investigation in the Electronic Surveillance Monitoring Unit of the Washington Field Office on April 30, 1974, for the purpose of propounding to them the questions set forth or incorporated in the said order of April 22, 197[5]. The deposition transcripts shall be placed under seal of the Court, and the parties and their counsel shall make no disclosure thereof. Counsel for defendants may advise each deposition witness in advance of his deposition of the nature and pendency of this case...."

The Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division, then requested that we advise him of the identities and position descriptions of the personnel who were engaged in warrantless (non-court-ordered) electronic surveillance of telephone communications in WFO on 4/30/74.

By airtels dated 9/15/75 and 9/22/75, WFO furnished information requested by the Department.

On 9/21/75, Gordon Daiger, Departmental Attorney handling this matter, advised that plaintiff's counsel is approaching these depositions in a spirit of reasonableness and that the depositions will be scheduled in a manner least disruptive to Washington Field Office.

CONTINUED - OVER

Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams

Re: Founding Church of Scientology of Washington, D.C., Inc.v. Saxbe,

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. That the attached memorandum to the Assistant Attorney General/be approved and sent.

Criminal Division Level 10-6-75 PMC

2. That the attached airtel to the SAC, WFO, be approved and sent. Lent 11.6.75

Sid

WEN -

109 Per

SETIONAL FORM NO. 10
JULY 1979, EDITION
SEA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.8

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

.

Director

: Federal Bureau of Investigation
Attention: Office of Legal Counsel

DATE: June 25, 1975

FROM LOh

John C. Keeney

Acting Assistant Attorney General

Criminal Division

SUBJECT:

Founding Church of Scientology of Washington, D.C., Inc. v. William B. Saxbe, et al.

(D.D.C.) Civil Action No. 74-744

Reference is made to my memorandum of June 20, 1975, subject as above.

Enclosed herewith for your information and files is a copy of defendants' Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Discovery Sanctions, filed in the subject civil action on June 23, 1975.

On June 19, 1975, the District Court denied without opinion defendants' Motion to Quash Subpoena and to Vacate Notice of Oral Deposition, a copy of which was forwarded with and discussed in my referenced memorandum. Consequently, the deposition of Earl A. Connor will be held at 9:30 a.m. on July 2, 1975, in the office of plaintiff's counsel, Seymour and Patton, 1225 Connecticut Avenue, N. W., Washington, D. O. An attorney from this Division representing the defendants will attend in order to cross-examine and make appropriate objections.

Co SG 4 0g ENCLOSURE

REC-30

1975 au

Day of the

Lating &

uy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

2 1 1975

Kai

2/2